Approved For ease 2006/02/06 : CIA-RDP74B00535000100200028-2

No. 69-3

10 March 1969

25X1

Minutes of the Procurement Officers' Meeting

3 March 1969

| PRESENT: | John F. Blake, DD/S (Chairman) |
|--|---|
| · . | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| to the fact that ment Officers Contract Revithe Procurement ideas on proceed of specific contract that the Procurement ideas on proceed that the Procurement ideas on proceeding the Proceeding Technology (Procedure Inc.). | Mr. Blake alerted the group of the Inspector General's review team had inquired whether the Procure- ' Meeting duplicated or infringed upon the area of responsibility of the lew Board (CRB). In answering a firm no, Mr. Blake cited the purpose of lent Officers' Meeting as a forum for free exchange of information and arement matters as distinguished by the CRB's direct interest in review intractual actions. The Inspector General's team has been invited to dister individually with each attendee. |
| research and sized that the | . Blake informed the group that Planning Staff, OL, has been requested to review the policy for retirement of procurement records. It was emphalegal responsibility was most important and that the policy for retirement ds should be consistent for each procurement team. |
| the Deputy Digrants with ac If a requested | reviewed the status of college and university reference to the established guidelines. In response to the direction of rector for Support, it was emphasized that all requests for contracts and cademic institutions must be cleared with Mr. Blake prior to taking action. I contract calls for a classified Agency association, a written justification may director of the requesting directorate to the Deputy Director for Support |

Approved For R ase 2006/02/06 : CIA-RDP74B00535R000100200028-2

Minutes of the Procurement Officers' Meeting, 3 March 1969

5X1 5X1

| lationships which fall in this category, it was noted that personal service type contractual | il re- |
|---|---------|
| tracts with employees of academic institutions, contracts with corporations with | 1- |
| university ties, and other contracts where university students are employees, managed the students are employees. | close . |
| reported. explained how the report to the Deputy Director for Sur | nort |
| is developed from the automated data collected for the Contract Information System | ·m |
| and discussed the importance of updating that data as each event in the life of a co | on - |
| tract occurs. For example, unless completion dates are provided to the data con | lers |
| by contracting officers, technical monitors or any other personnel closely associ | ated |
| with the contract, contracts will continue to be carried as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system when the contract will be continued as active in the system. | nen, |
| in fact, they are not. To insure the integrity of the automated information system | n, |
| everyone should feel a responsibility for providing timely and accurate data to it. commented on the need for better security controls during the contract | tim a |
| process with academic institutions. He suggested the topic be added to the agend | a for |
| the next Industrial Security Officers' Meeting. | |
| | |
| 4. reported that the GFE survey has been completed and a fi | nal |
| report was presented to the Director of Logistics on 28 February 1969. Recomm | enda- |
| tions resulting from the review include centralized control of GFE with the Procu | rement |
| Division, OL, and the assignment of a full-time GFE administrator at the GS-11 | level. |
| 5. reported on the contract review conducted by | 25X |
| and other. The purpose of the review was to scrutinize current contractu | |
| pects in relation to project monitorship. The following findings were outlined: | |
| | • |
| a. The Agency's requirement for nonroutine missile and space analy | rsis |
| by are well served. | |
| b. The contractor project management is cost conscious. | |
| ino contractor project management is cost conscious. | |
| c. The facility is essentially a Government captive facilit | ν. |
| | |
| d. There is a lack of consistent and informed teamwork among contr | |
| officers, project officers, and project management. Some of the fault | |
| sults from a policy decision to administer the contract from Headquarters ra | ther |
| .tt | |
| than the | |
| | |
| e. costs were compared to costs for similar project at and found t | |

Approved For lease 2006/02/06 : CIA-RDP74B0053 000100200028-2

Minutes of the Procurement Officers' Meeting, 3 March 1969

Based on cost and performance factors, no economic or technical reasons indicated that the Agency's interest would be better served by any other contractor. The task force recommended: Continuing Agency surveillance by project officers and their superviwork scopes are kept free from routine tasks sors to determine that that can be performed by Agency employees. A single contract on a FY basis be issued to cover all tasks. to administer unclassified con-Arrangements be made to permit 25X1 tracts negotiated by that Office. The ICAD auditor be provided with desk space within the 25X1 facility, thereby permitting more insight into the operation for the contracting officers and project officer. During the FY 1970 negotiation, the CO should attempt to establish "on site" engineering burden rates rather than the overall 25X1 review, Mr. Blake commented on the excellence of the In summarizing presentation and cited the following benefits derived from the review: The establishment of a methodology that will be useful in future reviews. The basic integrity of the findings. Surfacing of the problems in fiscal funding, contract administration and the need for a single contract rather than the multiplicity used today. presented views on the budgeting and funding for contingent costs for award fees, incentive contracts, and overrun costs. In respect to award fees, he noted that the Agency policy is to budget for the average fee while experience indicates that the award is usually higher than average. He concluded that Agency policy should be to fund between average and maximum excellent to mitigate against the impact of additional funding when the award fee is definitized. The fixed price incentive contract is now targeted at target cost plus target profit. Again experience factors show costs are cited a report to the ASPR committee by usually in excess of target. recommending full funding to the ceiling

Approved For lease 2006/02/06 : CIA-RDP74B0053 000100200028-2

Minutes of the Procurement Officers' Meeting, 3 March 1969

| \cdot | |
|---|--------------|
| of the FPI contracts. ASPR's committee reply was favorable although the Department of Defense has not as yet formally ruled to comply. In regard to overrun funding, it was suggested that an experience factor regarding the proportion of overrun costs and final settlement costs to original estimated costs be established | 25X1 25X1 |
| | |
| 7. In regard to other matters: | |
| abriefed the group on a request for a contract with a U.S. Government employee. The request for a private contract with an Edgewood arsenal employee is being reviewed by the General Counsel. | |
| b. noted the following revisions in the Industrial Security policy: | |
| (1) The industrial security investigations were going to be more extensive and require more time. | |
| (2) A new investigation cycle is underway for Top Secret cleared contractor employees. | |
| (3) An automatic five-year termination clause will probably be put into effect on Top Secret clearances. | |
| | 25X1 |
| | |
| Special Assistant to the Director of Logistics | |
| to the Director of Pogretica | |